

UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Addiese: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P O Box 1450 Alexandra, Virginia 22313-1450 www.wepto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
10/824,256	04/14/2004	Peter J. Leitner	WAT15 003	6522
7550 07/15/2008 John M. Johnson Carter Ledyard & Milburn LLLP			EXAMINER	
			MERCHANT, SHAHID R	
2 Wall Street New York, NY 10005			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
,			3692	
			WATE TAKE	DEL MEDILLORE
			MAIL DATE	DELIVERY MODE

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

The time period for reply, if any, is set in the attached communication.

10/824,256 LEITNER, PETER J. Office Action Summary

Application No.

Applicant(s)

Office Action Gammary	Examiner	Art Unit	I				
	SHAHID R. MERCHANT	3692					
The MAILING DATE of this communication appears on the cover sheet with the correspondence address							
Period for Reply							
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY WHICHEVER IS LONGER, FROM THE MAILING D. - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.15 and 51K (6) MONTHS from the maining date of the communication. - Failure to reply within the soft or extended period for reply will by statute. Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing agenced patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	ATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION 16(a). In no event, however, may a reply be tim- till apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from cause the application to become ABANDONEI	I. iely filed the mailing date of this of (35 U.S.C. § 133).	•				
Status							
1) Responsive to communication(s) filed on 14 Ap	pril 2008.						
2a) ☐ This action is FINAL . 2b) ☒ This action is non-final.							
3) Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is							
closed in accordance with the practice under E							
Plant a little at A Olahara							
Disposition of Claims							
4)⊠ Claim(s) <u>1-40</u> is/are pending in the application.							
4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdrawn from consideration.							
5) Claim(s) is/are allowed.							
6)⊠ Claim(s) <u>1-40</u> is/are rejected.							
7) Claim(s) is/are objected to.							
8) Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/or	election requirement.						
Application Papers							
9)☐ The specification is objected to by the Examine	r.						
10) The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) acce	epted or b) objected to by the E	Examiner.					
Applicant may not request that any objection to the	drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See	37 CFR 1.85(a).					
Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correcti	on is required if the drawing(s) is obj	ected to. See 37 C	FR 1.121(d).				
11) The oath or declaration is objected to by the Ex	aminer. Note the attached Office	Action or form P	ΓΟ-152.				
Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119							
		(4) (6)					
12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign	priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)	-(a) or (i).					
a) All b) Some * c) None of:	. b b						
1. Certified copies of the priority documents							
2. Certified copies of the priority documents							
Copies of the certified copies of the prior	•	d in this National	Stage				
application from the International Bureau							
* See the attached detailed Office action for a list	of the certified copies not receive	d.					
Attachment(s)							
1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892)	4) Interview Summary						
2) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) Paper No(s)/Mail Date 3)							
ay mornation distribute statement(8)(1 10/30/00)	= 110400 01 11101111011	- Locaton					

Paper No(s)/Mail Date _____.

6) Other: _____.

Application/Control Number: 10/824,256 Page 2

Art Unit: 3692

DETAILED ACTION

Status of the Claims

- This action is in response to the amendment filed on April 14, 2008.
 - Claims 1-40 are pending.
 - Claims 3, 8, 17, 21, 22, 26, 31 and 37 have been amended.

Response to Arguments

Applicant's arguments with respect to claims 1-40 have been considered but are moot in view of the new ground(s) of rejection.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 112

- 3. The following is a quotation of the first paragraph of 35 U.S.C. 112:
 - The specification shall contain a written description of the invention, and of the manner and process of making and using it, in such full, clear, concise, and exact terms as to enable any person skilled in the art to which it pertains, or with which it is most nearly connected, to make and use the same and shall set forth the best mode contemplated by the inventor of carrying out his invention.
- 4. Claims 15-28 and 35-40 rejected under 35 U.S.C. 112, first paragraph, as failing to comply with the written description requirement. The claim(s) contains subject matter which was not described in the specification in such a way as to reasonably convey to one skilled in the relevant art that the inventor(s), at the time the application was filed, had possession of the claimed invention. Applicant recites "a component for" in claims 15-28 and 35-40; however it is ambiguous as to what the component is that the applicant is using in the system claims. It is noted that the original disclosure makes

Page 3

Application/Control Number: 10/824,256

Art Unit: 3692

vague references to computers, websites and the Internet; however one skilled in the art would still not know what "a component" is referring to.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 101

5. 35 U.S.C. 101 reads as follows:

Whoever invents or discovers any new and useful process, machine, manufacture, or composition of matter, or any new and useful improvement thereof, may obtain a patent therefor, subject to the conditions and requirements of this title.

6. Claims 1-40 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 101 based on Supreme Court precedent, and recent Federal Circuit decisions, a § 101 process must (1) be tied to another statutory class (such as a particular apparatus) or (2) transform underlying subject matter (such as an article or materials) to a different state or thing. Diamond v. Diehr, 450 U.S. 175, 184 (1981); Parker v. Flook, 437 U.S. 584, 588 n.9 (1978); Gottschalk v. Benson, 409 U.S. 63, 70 (1972); Cochrane v. Deener, 94 U.S. 780,787-88 (1876). The process steps in claims (1-40) are not tied to another statutory class nor do they execute a transformation. Thus, they are non-statutory. A computer or processor is not positively nor explicitly recited in the body of any of the claims.

Allowable Subject Matter

 Claims 7, 9-11, 21 and 23-25 would be allowable if rewritten or amended to overcome the rejection(s) under 35 U.S.C. 112 and 35 U.S.C. 101, set forth in this Office action. Art Unit: 3692

Conclusion

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to SHAHID R. MERCHANT whose telephone number is (571)270-1360. The examiner can normally be reached on First Friday Off.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Kambiz P. Abdi can be reached on 571-272-6702. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 571-273-8300.

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free). If you would like assistance from a USPTO Customer Service Representative or access to the automated information system, call 800-786-9199 (IN USA OR CANADA) or 571-272-1000.

SRM

/Kambiz Abdi/ Supervisory Patent Examiner, Art Unit 3692